Jerusalem’s Surprisingly Good Relations with UNRWA

by Baruch Spiegel

The relationship between the State of Israel and the U.N. Relief and Work Agency for Palestinian Refugees in the Near East (UNRWA)—an agency catering to an Arab population that could at best be described as unfriendly—is little known and little understood. Yet for UNRWA to operate effectively in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip, where the bulk of its constituency resides, it must interact and collaborate with the Jewish state, which has exerted overwhelming influence on these territories since the Six-Day War of June 1967. The result has been an uneasy marriage of convenience between two unlikely bedfellows that has helped perpetuate the problem both have allegedly sought to resolve.
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EARLY INTERACTIONS

Having taken over responsibility from UNRWA in July 1952 for the 17,000 Arab refugees who remained in its territory after the 1948 war (out of a 150,000-strong Arab population), Jerusalem had no intention of doing the same in the wake of the 1967 Six-Day War, which brought under its control a sizable Arab population that had some, if not all, of its needs met by UNRWA. Instead, the government decided to institutionalize its relationship with the U.N. agency, and on June 14, 1967, Israel’s U.N. ambassador, Michael Comay, and UNRWA’s commissioner-general, Lawrence Michelmore, signed a formal agreement establishing recognition by the State of Israel of UNRWA’s activity in the West Bank and Gaza. The Israeli government committed itself to “nonintervention” in the U.N. agency’s affairs in the humanitarian sphere but reserved the right to intervene in cases of national security. Specifically, the Israeli government agreed:

(a) To ensure the protection and security of the personnel, installations, and property of UNRWA;

(b) To permit the free movement of UNRWA

vehicles into, within, and out of Israel and the areas in question;

(c) To permit the international staff of the agency to move in, out, and within Israel and the areas in question; they will be provided with identity documents and any other passes which might be required;

(d) To permit the local staff of the agency to move within the areas in question under arrangements made or to be made with the military authorities;

(e) To provide radio, telecommunications, and landing facilities;

(f) Pending a further supplementary agreement, to maintain the previously existing financial arrangements with the governmental authorities then responsible for the areas in question.2

From 1967 until the first intifada in December 1987, there were no extraordinary tensions or major disputes between UNRWA and the Israeli military administration. However, relations took a turn for the worse in Israeli-UNRWA relations in the late 1980s and early 1990s as violence erupted in the UNRWA-administered refugee camps during the first intifada. The Israeli authorities concluded that some of UNRWA’s employees were members or supporters of terrorist organizations and that its facilities were being used to support and carry out terrorist activity.3 UNRWA’s operations had become exceedingly politicized with the agency promoting anti-Israeli propaganda—including the use of deeply troubling textbooks demonizing Israel—and advocating an uncompromising stand on Palestinian demands. UNRWA vehicles including ambulances were used to transport terrorists and weapons for terrorist organizations.4 The Israeli authorities demanded the arrest of suspects in these activities, but UNRWA insisted that its employees enjoyed diplomatic immunity. Jerusalem also demanded the right to stop and search UNRWA vehicles, but the agency objected and filed complaints with the Security Council.5

To protect the country, Israeli leaders deployed defensive mechanisms such as imposing curfews and increasing the number of checkpoints and travel restrictions applying to Palestinian employees of UNRWA. The agency responded by com-
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plaining that these security arrangements inter-
rupted daily life and education in the territories.

Tensions were reduced after the signing of
the 1993 Declaration of Principles (DOP) be-
tween Israeli and Palestinian leaders and the
establishment of the Palestinian Authority (PA)
as the legally recognized governing body of the
Palestinian Arabs. Israeli responsibility for the
Arab residents of the West Bank and Gaza was
transferred to the PA, and the relationships be-
tween the government of Israel and UNRWA
greatly improved.

**THE LESSER
OF TWO EVILS**

As late as 1994, when control of the Pales-
tinian population passed to Yasser Arafat’s PA,
Israel still provided more funding than all Arab
countries except Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, and Mo-
rocco. This excluded funding of schools and
medical care, which were a part of UNRWA’s
budget and not the responsibility of the Israeli
government. On principal, Jerusalem did not in-
volve itself in UNRWA’s internal working pro-
cedures while the framework of the mandate was
agreeable. Only when there were violations and
differences of opinion about UNRWA’s mandate,
did Israel interfere. Most of the reasons were
practical.

The so-called second intifada (2000-05)
once again saw violence occurring in and origi-
nating from UNRWA-administered refugee
camps. Once more the Israeli government felt
compelled to send in troops to quell violence
and hunt down terrorists targeting its civilians.
Once more UNRWA officials protested the in-
cursions into their jurisdiction.

Eventually, the Israel Defense Forces (IDF)
gained the upper hand in suppressing terrorist
activity in the territories, and a tense but quiet
period took hold. Although violent clashes with
the IDF have diminished in the territories since
2005, Gaza in particular has seen an escalat-
tion and an attendant rise in Israeli-UNRWA
tensions. With the Israeli government’s removal
of all Jewish residents and defense personnel
from the strip in the summer of 2005 and the
subsequent Hamas takeover of the territory in
June 2007, Israeli-UNRWA relations have gone
through several trying periods.

After some 6,000 rockets had been fired
from Gaza at Israeli popu-
lation centers in the south
of the country, Jerusalem
launched Operation Cast
Lead in December 2008-
January 2009 to strike
back at Hamas and its al-
lies. In the course of the
fighting, scores of homes
and other facilities were extensively damaged in-
cluding, in some cases, UNRWA facilities. As
expected, UNRWA noisily protested what it
maintained were unwarranted attacks on its neu-
trality while the Israeli authorities argued that
the agency had enabled Hamas operatives to
use its facilities both as “human” shields and
areas of operation. UNRWA also claimed that
many Gazan students experienced posttraumatic
stress following the IDF military campaign.
However, the Israeli government allowed 243
Gaza residents to enter Israel for medical and
humanitarian reasons via the Erez Crossing.
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In the aftermath of the fighting, UNRWA asked to be placed in charge of the reconstruction of damaged public buildings, schools, clinics, and housing projects. Forced to choose between allowing Hamas to carry out the reconstruction or work with UNRWA, Israeli officials preferred to partner with UNRWA, hoping this would prevent the Islamist terror group from obtaining dual-use construction materials.

This, however, does not mean that whatever UNRWA desires becomes a fait accompli. The agency has submitted requests for more than 200 projects, only to have about half of them approved by the Israeli government. For example, UNRWA offered to manage the rebuilding of schools in a particular area, but Jerusalem rejected the proposal because the sites were too close to Hamas military posts12 and only approved the projects after UNRWA chose other sites.

In 2011, the Israeli Army Spokesperson’s Unit announced “widespread construction” in the Gaza Strip with the approval of 121 projects funded by international organizations, including UNRWA and the United Nations Development Program (UNDP).13 Israel is aware of the possibility that part of the construction material could end up in the hands of Hamas, but nonetheless views UNRWA as the lesser evil.

By mid-2012, Israeli authorities had approved a number of new projects in Gaza.14 UNRWA has to receive a permit for every project that it wants to undertake and must provide assurances that Hamas will not profit or benefit from the construction in any way. Jerusalem has no control, however, over the bidding process and how companies are chosen by UNRWA to carry out the projects. The Israelis try as much as possible to pressure UNRWA to scrutinize and vet the contractors to ensure that Hamas is not involved with the companies that gain the contracts, but they cannot strictly supervise this.

In the West Bank, there is daily liaison and coordination between the Israeli authorities and UNRWA, which in general work well. For the most part, there are no special problems in issuing permits for transporting local products or in the coordination of movements, treatment of special cases in times of border closures or security tensions, as well as supplying materials, food, and medical goods. There is also a mechanism for special humanitarian requests or needs that is activated on a regular basis.

This view of the marginally better option of working with UNRWA is formalized through the activities of the Israeli office of the Coordinator

Spiegel: Israel’s Policy

of Government Activities in the Territories Unit (COGAT)—a bureau of the Israeli Ministry of Defense and a part of the General Staff. Its mandate is to implement “civilian policy of the government of Israel in the West Bank and vis-à-vis the Gaza Strip in coordination and conjunction with the prime minister’s office, other government ministries, the security forces, and the IDF General Staff.”

It is in charge of cooperation with international agencies operating outside the territory of pre-1967 Israel and attempts to maintain a good working relationship with UNRWA, mainly to help the agency perform its task of providing vital services to the Palestinian Arabs.

As such, COGAT is a forum that irons out differences and solves problems as they arise in the field. From June 1967 until the signing of the DOP, the West Bank and Gaza were under Israel’s military administration. Subsequently, COGAT replaced the military administration in response to the political maneuvering of Arafat, who sought to internationalize the Palestinian-Israeli conflict so as to enhance his personal standing and place Israel under growing international pressure.

At that time, Israel strongly objected to Arafat’s efforts to internationalize the conflict; yet after his death and succession by Mahmoud Abbas, and especially in the years following Operation Cast Lead, Israel’s policy became more receptive to the prominent role played by UNRWA, the UNDP, and other international non-governmental organizations in Palestinian education, health, and welfare.

What this means in practical terms is that COGAT works with UNRWA to develop agreed-upon coordinating mechanisms for the transport of goods for the agency’s facilities in the West Bank as well as for the transfer of building materials, food, and medical supplies through Israeli checkpoints at the border with Gaza. Other areas of cooperation include facilitating the movement of local staff as well as releasing goods from Israeli ports. However, materials of dual use are not allowed to enter Gaza, and a list of those items is readily available to anyone.

One sphere of extensive cooperation has been in the area of education where UNRWA claims to serve the educational needs of 213,000 Gazan children and 52,633 pupils in the West Bank. Despite COGAT’s recent permission to launch 110 new construction sites, including schools and health clinics, UNRWA still lamented: “Years of underfunding have left the education system in Gaza overstretched, with 94 percent of schools operating on a doubleshift basis, hosting one ‘school’ of students in...

UNRWA’s operations had become exceedingly politicized with the agency promoting anti-Israeli propaganda and uncompromising Palestinian demands. During both the first and the second intifadas, UNRWA vehicles, including ambulances, were used to transport terrorists and weapons for terrorist organizations, charges largely dismissed by the agency.


The working relationship between Israel and UNRWA largely bypasses the Palestinian Authority and Hamas.

The working relationship between Israel and UNRWA largely bypasses the Palestinian Authority and Hamas. On the face of it, it would have been beneficial to Israel if UNRWA’s operations were to be entirely transferred to the PA, thus reducing the influence of one of the foremost international perpetuators of the Palestinian refugee myth and underscoring the fact that the “refugees” are now settled in their West Bank or Gaza homeland. In practice, Jerusalem seems perfectly content to sustain the modus operandi with UNRWA and to leave negotiations over the final settlement of the refugee problem until such time as a lasting peace settlement is reached.
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Birth in a Burqa ... or Divorce

A Muslim man has been jailed in France for punching a nurse who tried to remove his wife’s burqa during an emergency C-section.

Nassim Mimoune, 24, [called] a midwife a “rapist” when she tried to perform an intimate examination on his wife. The pregnant woman, who had been having contractions for two days, begged her husband to allow the examination, but he threatened her with divorce.

Mimoune, a construction worker from Paris ... smashed open the locked door of the operating room and punched the [nurse] in the face. He was arrested on a charge of assault while his wife delivered a healthy baby boy.

Jailing him for six months, the judge described him as putting “his religious dogma above the laws of the Republic and his French citizenship.”

Foxnews.com, Dec. 23, 2011